

Scoring Rubric - Build Our Future Grant Pilot Program - Phase 2 Application

APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS		
	Yes/No	
Does the Project Focus on an Eligible Technology Sector?		
Do the Sources and Uses Adhere to the Funding Formula?		
Was a Contact Person Identified and the Application Signed?		
Is the Applicant In Good Standing with the State of Maryland?		
Was IRS Form W-9 Included?		

ASSESSMENT SCORECARD				
(1) Narrative - Project Scope: Goals & Objectives; Activities; Outcomes & Deliverables; Timeline & Milestones; Target Audience & Beneficiaries; Risks & Challenges.				
Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	Poor or Incomplete
Provided clear, specific and meaningful information of the proposed project on all topics requested.	Provided meaningful information of the proposed project.	Provided some information about the project but did not address all topics requested.	Did not provide information about key elements of the project.	Project narrative was unclear and did not address most elements requested.
20-25 points	14-19 points	7-13 points	1-6 points	0 points

(2) Transformative Nature of Project- Impact on State/Industry/Community; Innovation & Novelty; Evaluation & Impact Measurement; Broader Implications; Stakeholder Engagement				
Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	Poor or Incomplete
Provided clear, compelling information how the project would transform and create lasting positive change in the sector's ecosystem in Maryland.	Provided meaningful information how the project would transform and create lasting positive change in the sector's ecosystem in Maryland.	Provided some information how the project might transform and create lasting positive change in the sector's ecosystem in Maryland.	Did not provide sufficient information how the project might transform and create lasting positive change in the sector's ecosystem in Maryland.	Did not provide information how the project could transform or create lasting positive change on the sector's ecosystem in Maryland.
20-25 points	14-19 points	7-13 points	1-6 points	0 points

(3) Intellectual Property (IP) - Type & Description of IP; Alignment with Grant & Project Goals; State Benefits & Interests; Collaboration & Third-Party Involvement [SCORE THIS SECTION ONLY IF THE PROJECT INVOLVES DEVELOPMENT OF IP]				
Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	Poor or Incomplete
Includes a detailed description of how the project will develop IP, how it aligns with project goals, and benefits the State of Maryland.	Includes a good description of how the project will develop IP, how it aligns with project goals, and benefits the State of Maryland.	Includes a basic description of how the project will develop IP, how it aligns with project goals, and benefits the State of Maryland.	Includes a basic description of how the project will develop IP but does not make a connection to project goals, or how it benefits the State of Maryland.	Did not describe how the project will develop IP or make a connection to project goals, or how it benefits the State of Maryland.
5 points	4 points	3 points	2 points	0-1 point

(4) Sustainability - Long-Term Vision; Continuation Strategy; Scalability; Capacity Building & Knowledge Transfer				
Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	Poor or Incomplete
Provided a comprehensive vision and strategy for the project, and how continued funding will support scalability, build capacity and support knowledge transfer.	Provided a detailed vision and strategy for the project, and how continued funding will support scalability, build capacity and support knowledge transfer.	Provided a vision and strategy for the project, and how continued funding will support scalability, build capacity and support knowledge transfer.	Provided a vision and strategy for the project, and how the future funding could support the project after grant funding has lapsed.	Provided a vision and strategy for the project, but did not identify how the future funding could support the project after grant funding has lapsed.
20-25 points	14-19 points	7-13 points	1-6 points	0 points

(5) Project Budget - Sources of Funds; Uses of Funds				
Excellent to Outstanding	Good to Very Good	Satisfactory	Marginal to Fair	Poor or Incomplete
Provided a detailed list of proposed sources and uses of funds, including availability of sources, and how they relate to the overall success of the project.	Provided a list of proposed sources and uses of funds, including availability of sources, and how they relate to the overall success of the project.	Identified some sources and uses of funds, but was unclear whether some sources can be delivered.	Identified some sources and uses of funds, but unclear whether sources can be delivered, and whether overall funding is sufficient for project success.	Did not identify all sources and uses of funds, or was unclear about availability of sources.
20-25 points	14-19 points	7-13 points	1-6 points	0 points